

# Vertiginous crisis following temporomandibular joint arthrocentesis: a case report

Luigi Angelo Vaira<sup>1,2</sup>  · Damiano Soma<sup>2</sup> · Silvio Mario Meloni<sup>3</sup> · Giovanni Dellaversana Orabona<sup>1</sup> · Pasquale Piombino<sup>4</sup> · Giacomo De Riu<sup>2</sup>

Received: 25 August 2016 / Accepted: 28 November 2016  
© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2016

**Abstract** Temporomandibular joint arthrocentesis and arthroscopy have recently exceeded open surgeries for disorders that failed to respond to conservative treatment. The efficacy of arthrocentesis in reestablishing normal mouth opening and reducing pain and dysfunctions is now commonly accepted, but in contrast to arthroscopy, there are no large series studies on arthrocentesis complications. We report the major complication occurred in our experience: a case of a patient that complained of a violent vertigo, without hearing disorders, following the procedure.

**Keywords** Temporomandibular joint · Temporomandibular disorders · Temporomandibular arthrocentesis · Temporomandibular arthrocentesis complications

## Introduction

Temporomandibular disorders (TMDs) represent a wide range of functional changes and pathological conditions affecting the temporomandibular joint (TMJ), masticatory muscles,

and other components of the oromaxillofacial region. In recent years, TMDs have become a frequent cause for seeking medical assistance, probably due to psychological tension in modern society. [1].

TMDs may be treated conservatively or surgically. Conservative treatments include bite wafers, rehabilitation exercises, isometric exercises, masticatory muscle massage, use of multiple medications (NSAID, Diazepam, etc.), and thermal and laser therapy. Surgical treatments can be invasive (open approaches) or minimally invasive, including arthrocentesis and arthroscopy. These procedures are minimally invasive and associated with few complications; therefore, they have recently exceeded open surgeries for TMDs that failed to respond to conservative treatment [2, 3]. The efficacy of arthrocentesis in reestablishing normal mouth opening and reducing pain and dysfunctions has been reported in various studies [1, 4–7] and appears to be similar to that of arthroscopy. Yet, arthroscopy has more frequent complications than arthrocentesis, which is cost-effective and can be performed in outpatients under local anesthesia [2, 8–11]. In contrast to arthroscopy, there are no large series studies that rate the arthrocentesis's possible complications.

Focusing on our experience, we report the major complication that occurred: a case of violent vertigo, without hearing disorders, complained of following the procedure. We also made a review of the literature on the arthrocentesis complications.

## Case report

A 48-year-old woman, in good general health and no history for otovestibular diseases, came to our observation with a 4-year history of bilateral TMDs with limited mouth opening (18 mm), pain, and bilateral click.

---

✉ Luigi Angelo Vaira  
luigi.vaira@gmail.com

<sup>1</sup> Operative Unit of Maxillofacial Surgery, University of Naples “Federico II”, Via Pansini 5, 80131 Naples, Italy

<sup>2</sup> Operative Unit of Maxillofacial Surgery, University of Sassari, Viale San Pietro 43B, 07100 Sassari, Italy

<sup>3</sup> Dental School, University of Sassari, Viale San Pietro 43B, 07100 Sassari, Italy

<sup>4</sup> ENT Operative Unit, Second University of Naples, Via Pansini 5, 80131 Naples, Italy

Panoramic radiograph and CT scan were negative and the MRI showed bilateral joint effusion and anterior dislocation of the disk that, on the right side, appeared thin. The patient was refractory to nonsurgical therapies (NSAID, joint rest, occlusal bite, physical therapy). Bilateral TMJ arthrocentesis was then planned.

Right TMJ arthrocentesis, as described by Nitzan et al. [12], was performed without any complications. After 1 week, the patient reported an improvement in pain symptoms and the mouth opening increased up to 26 mm.

Left TMJ arthrocentesis was performed after 2 weeks. During the injection of the local anesthetic (mepivacaine 2% with 1:200,000 epinephrine) inside the joint, the patient experienced a brief episode of vertigo that regressed independently in a few seconds. The procedure was normally completed without any other complication. Some minutes after the end of the procedure, the patient complained of a violent onset of objective vertigo, with nausea, vomiting, and grade 3, horizontal-rotatory, right-beating nystagmus. An ENT consultation was requested: the patient did not report hearing loss, otoscopy was negative, and there were no evident damages or alterations of the tympanic membrane. The violence of the attack has prevented deeper hearing and vestibular investigations. The patient was therefore admitted to stay in the hospital and supportive therapy was established with parenteral rehydration, methylprednisolone 40 mg and levosulpiride 25 mg IV every 5 h were prescribed. To alleviate nausea, metoclopramide 10 mg was administered. The acute phase of the attack lasted 5 h, then the patient reported a gradual improvement in symptoms that completely resolved itself after another 3 h. The next day, the patient repeated the ENT check that observed the complete resolution of the vertigo, with no evidence of spontaneous or evoked nystagmus. Otoscopy was negative and the audiometric test did not detect auditory disorders. The patient was then discharged. After 1 week, no auditory or vestibular symptoms were detected, joint pain was greatly diminished, and the mouth opening was increased to 31 mm.

## Discussion

TMJ arthrocentesis, first described by Nitzan et al. in 1991 [12], is a simple and effective surgical procedure with the aim of washing out inflammatory mediators, releasing the articular disk and disrupting adhesions between the surface of the disk and the joint fossa by hydraulic pressure of the lavage solution. The success rate of arthrocentesis in reducing pain and restoring articular function mentioned in the literature ranges between 70 and 90% [4, 13, 14].

Complications after TMJ punctures depend on the anatomy of the joint and its relations with surrounding structures [15].

The complication rate following arthroscopy has been the subject of numerous studies and is reported between 1.8 and 10.3% [8–11, 17–19]. Some of the possible complications described consist of temporary or permanent nerve injuries (V or VII cranial nerve), otic injuries (tympanic membrane perforation, hemotympanum, blood clots in the external auditory canal, laceration of external auditory canal, hearing loss, fullness of the ear), preauricular hematoma, superficial temporal artery aneurysm, arteriovenous fistula, transarticular perforation, intracranial perforation, extradural hematoma, parapharyngeal swelling, intraarticular problems (hemarthrosis, arthritis, bacterial infection), and intraarticular instrument breakdown. [2].

The complication rate of TMJ arthrocentesis has not yet been defined, but is considered to be less than arthroscopy [2, 3, 15]. Temporary facial paresis or paralysis caused by local anesthetics or swelling of the neighboring tissues is common after arthrocentesis. As recently reported by Al-Moraissi, other complications described for arthrocentesis are extradural hematoma, severe bradycardia, and cervicofacial oedema [16].

In the reported case, following the arthrocentesis, objective vertigo without auditory alterations or damage of the tympanic membrane was developed. The mechanism underlying this complication was unclear. Transarticular puncture of the thin temporal fossa floor has been described [15]. In a similar way, it could be possible that the needle penetrated the temporal bone releasing the anesthetic solution near the semicircular canals in the inner ear. Alternatively, high-pressure irrigation of the TMJ cavity might have caused some fluid to pass through the joint capsule and be adsorbed by the fine channels in the bone, reaching vestibular structures. The absence of auditory disorders and middle ear abnormalities makes the passage of the anesthetic improbable through the foramen of Huschke. TMJ proprioception receptor overstimulation can be another effective etiologic hypothesis.

However, TMJ arthrocentesis remains a procedure with a minimal number of important complications. Its safety is closely related to the surgeon's experience and seems not increased by imaging techniques such as the use of ultrasonic guidance [20]. Generally, when present, complications are temporary, due to the anesthetic effect or washing pressure of injection, and can generally be managed on an outpatient basis. Even if arthrocentesis is a minimally invasive procedure, great attention should be paid to avoiding vascular and nerve injury and respect the thin bony lamina that separates the upper joint space from the above neurocranial structures. Infringement of these structures can lead to major complications requiring immediate hospitalization for monitoring and to establish an appropriate therapy.

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

## References

- De Riu G, Stimolo M, Meloni SM, Soma D, Pisano M, Sembronio S et al (2013) Arthrocentesis and temporomandibular joint disorders: clinical and radiological results of a prospective study. *Int J Dent* 2013:790648
- Tozoglu S, Al-Belasy FA, Dolwick MA (2011) A review of techniques of lysis and lavage of the TMJ. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 49(4):302–309
- Nitzan DW (2006) Arthrocentesis incentives for using this minimally invasive approach for temporomandibular disorders. *Oral Maxillofac Surg Clin North Am* 18(3):311–328
- Alpaslan C, Dolwick MF, Heft MW (2003) Five-year retrospective evaluation of temporomandibular joint arthrocentesis. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 32:263–267
- Tvrdy P, Heinz P, Pink R (2015) Arthrocentesis of the temporomandibular joint: a review. *Biomed Pap Med Fac Univ Palacky Czech Repub* 159:31–34
- Gaudot P, Jaquinet AR, Hugonnet S, Haefliger W, Richter M (2000) Improvement of pain and function after arthroscopy and arthrocentesis of the temporomandibular joint: a comparative study. *J Craniomaxillofac Surg* 28(1):39–43
- Poon Tan DB, Krishnaswamy G (2012) A retrospective study of temporomandibular joint internal derangement treated with arthrocentesis and arthroscopy. *Proceedings of Singapore Healthcare* 21:73–78
- McCain JP, Sanders B, Koslin MG, Quinn JD, Peters PB, Indresano T (1992) Temporomandibular joint arthroscopy: a 6-year multicenter retrospective study of 4,831 joints. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 50:926–930
- Carls FR, Engelke W, Locher MC, Sailer HF (1996) Complications following arthroscopy of the temporomandibular joint: analysis covering a 10-year period (451 arthroscopies). *J Craniomaxillofac Surg* 24:12–15
- Tsuyama M, Kundoh T, Seto K, Fukuda J (2000) Complications of temporomandibular joint arthroscopy of 301 lysis and lavage procedures performed using the triangulation technique. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 58:500–505
- González-García R, Rodríguez-Campo FJ, Escorial-Hernández V, Muñoz-Guerra MF, Sastre-Pérez J, Naval-Gias L et al (2006) Complications of temporomandibular joint arthroscopy: a retrospective analytic study of 670 arthroscopic procedures. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 64(11):1587–1591
- Nitzan DW, Dolwick MF, Martinez GA (1991) Temporomandibular joint arthrocentesis: a simplified treatment for severe, limited mouth opening. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 49(11):1168–1170
- Nitzan DW, Samson B, Better H (1997) Long-term outcome of arthrocentesis for sudden-onset, persistent, severe closed lock of the temporomandibular joint. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 55(2):151–157
- Hosaka H, Murakami K, Goto K, Izuka T (1996) Outcome of arthrocentesis for temporomandibular joint with closed lock at 3 years follow-up. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 82(5):501–504
- Carroll TA, Smith K, Jakubowski J (2000) Extradural haematoma following temporomandibular joint arthrocentesis and lavage. *Br J Neurosurg* 14(2):152–154
- Al-Moraissi EA (2015) Arthroscopy versus arthrocentesis in the management of internal derangement of temporomandibular joint: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 44:104–112
- McCain JP (1988) Complications of TMJ arthroscopy. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 46(4):256
- McCain JP, de la Rúa H (1989) Foreign body retrieval: a complication of TMJ arthroscopy. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 47:1221–1225
- Murphy MA, Silvester KC, Chan TY (1993) Extradural haematoma after temporomandibular joint arthroscopy. a case report. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 22(6):332–335
- Sivri MB, Ozkan Y, Pekiner FN, Gocmen G (2016) Comparison of ultrasound-guided and conventional arthrocentesis of the temporomandibular joint. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 54:667–681